

**Math2050A Term1 2017**  
**Tutorial 1, Sept 14**

### Exercises

1. Let  $S := (a, b]$ , where  $a < b$ . Find  $\inf S$ ,  $\sup S$ .
2. Let  $S := \{\frac{n}{2^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Find  $\inf S$ ,  $\sup S$ .
3. Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence s.t.  $a_n \geq 0$ . Define

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n := \sup\{a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \dots\}$$

Suppose  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a bijective map. Show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{f(n)}$ .  
By definition, LHS is  $\sup\{a_{f(1)}, a_{f(1)} + a_{f(2)}, a_{f(1)} + a_{f(2)} + a_{f(3)} \dots\}$

4. Let  $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  for all  $i, j$ . Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$$

### Comments

Exercise 3 is saying that the order of summation is not important when  $a_n \geq 0$ . This matters when there are infinitely many negative terms and positive terms in the sequence. You may google "Riemann's Rearrangement Theorem" for more information.

Another observation from Exercise 3: Given  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $a_i \geq 0$ . Here  $I$  can be arbitrary set, probably uncountable. One can still define

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_i := \sup\{\sum_{i \in F} a_i : F \subset I, F \text{ is a finite set}\}$$

However, in this case, the supremum exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  only when  $a_i = 0$  except countably many  $i$ . You may try to prove this.

From the definition above, show that  $\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{ij} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$  in the setting of exercise 4. It shall be more direct to show that all three terms in exercise 4 equal  $\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{ij}$ . Nonetheless, see

**Solution** for exercise 4 only.

Define  $s_{mn} := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$ . We will show that

(i)

$$\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$$

(ii)

$$\sup_n \sup_m s_{mn} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$$

(iii)

$$\sup_{m,n} s_{mn} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$$

Before showing these, by our textbook [Bartle] p.46 Q12, **Principle of the Iterated Suprema**, we note that

$$\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} = \sup_n \sup_m s_{mn} = \sup_{m,n} s_{mn}$$

Hence, it remains to show (i),(ii),(iii):

(i) implies (ii) by defining  $b_{ij} := a_{ji}$  and  $s'_{mn} := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} = s_{nm}$ .

For (iii), you may try it yourself. It is similiar to exercise 3.

For (i), we claim first:  $\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$ . Prove claim:

Fix any  $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , note:  $\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} \geq \sup_n s_{m_0 n} \geq s_{m_0 n_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} a_{ij}$ .

Try to argue that  $\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$ . Suppose not, there is  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} &< \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} - \epsilon \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} - \frac{\epsilon}{m_0} \right) \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} a_{ij} \text{ for some } N_i \text{ ( depending on } i \text{ )} \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $n_0 := \max\{N_1, \dots, N_{m_0}\}$ , we have  $\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} < s_{m_0 n_0}$ , contradiction arises. Therefore,  $\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$  and the claim will then follow by definition of supremum.

The second claim is that  $\sup_m \sup_n s_{mn} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$ , which is easy.